

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,176	12/05/2001	Sam Yang	M4065.0210-/P210-A	3795
24998	24998 7590 10/04/2005		EXAMINER	
DICKSTEIN	I SHAPIRO MORIN (TRINH, HOA B		
2101 L Street,			1271217	D 4 000 A 11 14 10 00
Washington, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	
v	
r	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/002,176	YANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Vikki H. Trinh	2814			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01</u>	<u>July 2005</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The	nis action is non-final.	·			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-55,57-68 and 97</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-55, 57-68 and 97</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	· •				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applicati	on No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 07/01/05 has been entered.

An amendment filed on June 13, 2005, has been considered. Claims 32-55, 57-68, and 97 are pending in this present application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- Claim 97 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In claim 97, line 7, the top electrode comprising "a bottom and a top conducting layer" is not described in the original specification.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 55 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 55, line 2, a colon ":" after the term "of" should be deleted; In line 3, a comma"," should be inserted before

Art Unit: 2814

the term "and"; In line 4, a comma "," should be inserted before the term "and". Appropriate correction is required.

4. Claim 68 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2, the phrase "the presence of an oxygen as with a gas flow rate..." is vague and incoherent. The examiner assumes applicants intended to state that "the oxygen has a flow rate from 0.01 to 10 liters per second.". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 32-36, 40-45, 47-49, 51-52, 54, 57-58, and 62-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Iizuka (6,338,996).

With respect to claim 32, Iizuka discloses a method of forming a capacitor in a semiconductor device, the method including the steps of forming a bottom conducting layer 28 (fig. 1), wherein the bottom conducting layer 28 forms a bottom electrode (col. 3, lines 35-40); forming a dielectric layer 30 (fig. 1) over the bottom conducting layer 28, forming a top electrode 32 (fig. 1) that consists of a single top conducting layer (fig. 1) over the dielectric layer 30; and annealing the single top conducting layer of the top electrode 32 with an oxidizing gas anneal (col. Col. 5, lines 20-25). Note that "oxidizing gas anneal" is an anneal performed in a gas mixture with oxygen concentration.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,176

Art Unit: 2814

As to claim 33, Iizuka discloses that the capacitor is formed over a conductive plug 18 (fig. 1) or 21 (fig. 2b), and further includes depositing an oxygen barrier 24 or 26 (fig. 1) over the plug 18 or 21 (fig. 1 or fig. 2b) prior to forming the bottom conducting layer 28 (fig. 1).

As to claim 34, Iizuka discloses that the step further includes annealing the dielectric layer after the dielectric layer is formed (col. 4, lines 55-60).

As to claim 35, Iizuka discloses that the bottom conducting layer 28 (fig. 1) is formed of a material selected from the noble metal group (col. 3, lines 38-40).

As to claim 36, Iizuka discloses that the bottom conducting layer is formed of a metal (col. 3, lines 38-40).

As to claims 40-41, Iizuka discloses that the bottom conducting layer is formed from material such as platinum (Pt) and Ruthenium (Ru). (col. 3, lines 38-40).

As to claim 42, Iizuka discloses that the dielectric layer is a dielectric metal oxide layer (col. 3, lines 40-42).

As to claim 43, Iizuka discloses that the dielectric layer has a high dielectric constant that falls within the range as claimed. (e.g. BST, Col. 3, lines 40-42).

As to claims 44-45, Iizuka discloses that the dielectric layer 30 (fig. 1) is formed of a material such as BST. (Col. 3, lines 40-42).

As to claim 47, Iizuka teaches that the dielectric layer 30 (fig. 1) is heated to a temperature above 200 degrees Celsius (col. 4, lines 59-60) to change it to a crystallized dielectric layer 30 from an original material that may be an amorphous material (col. 4, lines 55-63, col. 1, lines 30-33).

Application/Control Number: 10/002,176 Page 5

Art Unit: 2814

As to claim 48, Iizuka discloses that the top conducting layer 32 (fig. 1) is formed of a material selected from the noble metal group (col. 3, lines 38-40).

As to claim 49, Iizuka discloses that the top conducting layer 32 is formed of a non-oxidizing metal permeable to oxygen (col. 3, lines 38-40).

As to claims 51-52, Iizuka discloses that the top conducting layer 32 (fig. 1) is formed from material such as platinum (Pt) and Ruthenium (Ru). (col. 3, lines 38-40).

As to claim 54, Iizuka discloses that the top and bottom conducting layers 32, 28 (fig. 1) are formed of a material such as platinum (col. 3, lines 38-40) and the dielectric layer 30 (fig. 1) is a BST (col. 3, lines 40-42).

As to claims 57-58, Iizuka discloses that the annealing is performed with a gas mixture having a material such as oxygen (col. 5, lines 20-25).

As to claims 62 and 63, Iizuka teaches that the annealing is performed at a temperature between 300-400 degrees Celsius (col. 2, line 44), which falls within the claimed range.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

Art Unit: 2814

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 37-38 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iizuka, as applied to claim 32, in view of Emesh et al. (5,452,178) (hereinafter Emesh).

Iizuka discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Iizuka does not explicitly state that the bottom conducting layer may be formed of a metal alloy or conducting metal oxide, and that the top conducting layer is formed of a conducting metal oxide.

Emesh discloses an analogous method and device having a bottom electrode 54 (fig. 3), a dielectric 60, 64 (fig. 3), and a top electrode 68 (fig. 3), wherein the bottom electrode 54 (fig. 3) may be formed of conductive metal alloy, or conductive metal oxide (col. 7, lines 1-2). The top electrode 68 may be formed of conducting metal oxide (col. 9, lines 40-42).

Therefore, as to claims 37-38, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the bottom electrode of Iizuka with the metal alloy or conductive metal oxide material, as taught by Emesh, so as to provide an alternative material to make the bottom electrode.

As to claim 50, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Iizuka with the top electrode made of conductive metal oxide, as taught by Emesh, so as to provide an alternative material to make the top electrode.

6. Claims 39, 46, 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iizuka, as applied to claim 32, in view of Alers (6,303,426).

Application/Control Number: 10/002,176

Art Unit: 2814

Iizuka discloses the invention substantially as claimed. In particular, Iizuka discloses that the top conducting layer 32 (fig. 1) is formed of platinum (Pt). However, Iizuka does not explicitly state that the bottom conducting layer may be formed of a metal nitride and the dielectric layer may be formed of a Tantalum oxide (TaO) and is crystalline or amorphous material.

Alers discloses an analogous method and device having a bottom electrode 66 (fig. 3), a dielectric layer 70 (fig. 3), and a top electrode 80 (fig. 3), wherein the bottom electrode 66 is made of metal nitride material (col. 3, lines 53-54) and the dielectric layer 70 is formed of Tantalum Oxide (TaO) and is either crystalline or amorphous (col. 3, lines 58-65).

Therefore, as to claims 39 and 53, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Iizuka with the bottom electrode made of metal nitride, as taught by Alers, so as to provide an alternative material for the bottom electrode.

As to claim 46, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Iizuka with the dielectric layer made of Tantalum oxide (TaO), as taught by Alers, so as to provide an alternative material for the dielectric layer.

7. Claim 55 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iizuka, as applied to claim 32, in view of Alers (6,303,426), and further in view of Emesh et al. (5,452,178) (hereinafter Emesh).

lizuka discloses in the invention substantially as claimed. In particular, Iizuka discloses that the top conducting layer 32 (fig. 1) is formed of platinum (Pt). However, Iizuka does not

Art Unit: 2814

explicitly state that the bottom conducting layer is a tungsten nitride and the dielectric layer is an aluminum oxide (Al₂O₃).

Page 8

Alers discloses an analogous method and device having a bottom electrode 66 (fig. 3), a dielectric layer 70 (fig. 3), and a top electrode 80 (fig. 3), wherein the bottom electrode 66 is made of tungsten nitride (WN) (col. 3, lines 53-55) and the dielectric layer 70 is formed of Tantalum Oxide (TaO) and is either crystalline or amorphous (col. 3, lines 58-65).

Emesh discloses an analogous method and device having a bottom electrode 54 (fig. 3), a dielectric 60, 64 (fig. 3), and a top electrode 68 (fig. 3), wherein the dielectric layer is formed from material such as silicon dioxide (col. 8, line 40), PZT (col. 8, line 59), or Al₂O₃ (col. 9, line 27).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Iizuka with the bottom electrode made of tungsten nitride material, as taught by Alers, so as to provide an alternative material for the bottom electrode. Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the material for the dielectric layer of Iizuka with the aluminum oxide (Al₂O₃₎ material, as taught by Emesh, so as to provide an alternative material for the dielectric layer.

8. Claims 59-60, 64-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lizuka, as applied to claim 32, in view of Narwankar et al. (6,475,854) (hereinafter Narwankar).

Iizuka discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Iizuka does not explicitly disclose that the annealing step is a plasma enhanced annealing, a remote plasma

enhanced annealing, or ultraviolet light enhanced annealing, and that the annealing is performed at a pressure between 2-660 Torr and between 10 seconds to 60 minutes.

Narwankar discloses an analogous method and device having a bottom electrode 604 (fig. 6f), a dielectric layer 606 (fig. 1), and a top electrode 610 (fig. 6f), wherein the top electrode 610 is annealed (col. 11, line 4-5) in an oxygen environment, thereby performing an oxidizing annealing step. The annealing is a plasma enhanced annealing, or remote plasma enhanced annealing (col. 13, lines 14-20) and that the annealing is done at a pressure of 2.5 Torr and performed at 2 minutes (col. 13, lines 10-15).

Therefore, as to claims 59-60, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Iizuka with the annealing such as plasma enhanced annealing, or remote plasma enhanced annealing, as taught by Narwankar, so as to provide an alternative technique to anneal the top electrode.

As to claims 64-65, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Iizuka with a pressure of 2.5 Torr (which falls within the claimed range of the pressure), as taught by Narwankar, so as to provide a pressure for annealing the top electrode layer.

As to claims 66-67, Narwankar teaches that annealing is performed for 2 minutes (which falls within the claimed range for time duration) because the time duration is depended on the particular oxygen –containing environment (col. 9, lines 63-66).

As to claim 68, Iizuka and Narwankar teach the invention substantially as claimed. However, neither Iizuka nor Narwankar teaches a gas flow rate between .01-10 liters per second. Nonetheless, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify the combined teaching of Iizuka and Narwankar with a specific range for the gas flow rate as claimed, since it is a prima facie obvious to an artisan for routine experimentation and optimization to set a specific range for the gas flow rate because applicants have not yet established any criticality for the specific range. Note that the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed dimensions of any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is aid to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. (In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578 (Fed. Cir. 1990).)

9. Claim 61 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iizuka, as applied to claim 32, in view of Marsh (6,387,802).

Iizuka discloses the invention substantially as claimed. In particular, Iizuka discloses the top electrode 32 made of platinum (Pt) (col. 3, lines 38-39). However, Iizuka does not explicitly teach that the annealing step of the top electrode is an ultraviolet light enhanced annealing.

Marsh discloses an analogous method and device having a bottom electrode 152 (fig. 11), a dielectric layer 153 (fig. 11), and a top electrode (col. 8, lines 30-35), wherein the top electrode is deposited and annealed (col. 2, lines 30-35) using ultraviolet light enhanced annealing in the same manner as the bottom electrode.

Therefore, as to claim 61, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the annealing step of Iizuka with ultraviolet light enhanced annealing, as taught by Marsh, so as to provide an alternative technique to anneal the top electrode and to remove carbon in the platinum group metal of the top electrode (col. 2, lines 34-35).

10. Claim 97 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iizuka in view of Emesh.

Iizuka discloses a method of forming a capacitor in a semiconductor device, the method including the steps of forming a bottom conducting layer 28 (fig. 1), wherein the bottom conducting layer 28 forms a bottom electrode (col. 3, lines 35-40); forming a dielectric layer 30 (fig. 1) over the bottom conducting layer 28; forming a top electrode 32 (fig. 1) over the dielectric layer 30; and annealing the top conducting layer of the top electrode 32 with an oxidizing gas anneal (col. Col. 5, lines 20-25). Note that "oxidizing gas anneal" is an anneal performed in a gas mixture with oxygen concentration.

However, Iizuka does not explicitly teach that the top electrode may be formed of a multilayer with a bottom and a top conducting layer.

Emesh discloses an analogous method and device having a bottom electrode 54 (fig. 3), a dielectric 60, 64 (fig. 3), and a top electrode 68 (fig. 3), wherein the dielectric layer 60, 64 is formed from material such as silicon dioxide (col. 8, line 40), PZT (col. 8, line 59), or Al₂O₃ (col. 9, line 27). The top electrode 68 may be formed of a multilayer structure. The multilayer top electrode has a bottom conducting layer, as a conductive barrier layer, and a top conducting layer (col. 9, lines 42-46), whereby the materials for the bottom and top conducting layer may be Pt/RuO2.

Therefore, as to claim 97, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the top conducting layer of the top electrode of Iizuka to include a bottom conducting layer, as taught by Emesh, so as to provide an alternative multilayer structure for the top electrode (col. 9, lines 40-44).

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Gealy et al. '718 discloses a capacitor having a bottom electrode, a dielectric, and an upper electrode (see fig. 10).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Vikki Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-1719. The Examiner can normally be reached from Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM Eastern Time. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Wael Fahmy, can be reached at (571) 272-1705. The office fax number is 703-872-9306.

Any request for information regarding to the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Also, status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public Pair. In addition, status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspro.gov. If you have questions pertaining to the Private PAIR system, please contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Lastly, paper copies of cited U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications will cease to be mailed to applicants with Office actions as of June 2004. Paper copies of foreign

Application/Control Number: 10/002,176

Art Unit: 2814

patents and non-patent literature will continue to be included with office actions. These cited U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, all U.S. patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web site (www.uspto.gov), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources. Applicants are referred to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html or 1-866-217-9197 for information on this policy. Requests to restart a period for response due to a missing U.S. patent or patent application publications

Page 13

Patent Examiner

will not be granted.

AU 2814